International Human Frontier Science Program Organization

CONFIDENTIALITY and RESEARCH SECURITY

Reviewers are expected to exert the highest level of ethical standards when reviewing applications for HFSP. Specifically, they are sensitive regarding research security and idea theft. They will:

- consider all applications as confidential and will ensure that confidentiality is maintained if they consult a colleague. They will not use previously undisclosed information contained in an application for their own research.
- provide constructive and objective assessments of the applications.
- disclose any connection to the applicants if the relationship might be considered a conflict of interest or otherwise bias the review. If in doubt, please contact the HFSP office (grant@hfsp.org).
- refrain from using Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools for their assessment.
- destroy copies of applications after review, paper and digital.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST - LI

The HFSPO considers you to have a conflict of interest if you

- have or had a personal relationship with the applicant(s)
- have recently been (< 5 years) or are currently collaborating and/or publishing with the applicant(s)
- are employed by the same institution as any of the applicants

DORA standards

The HFSPO is a signatory to the San Francisco Declaration of Research Assessment (DORA) which we consider to be an incentive to evaluate research proposals on the basis of their content and not solely by the criterion of Journal Impact Factors (JIF). Reviewers at all stages of the HFSP grant application process are advised that they should consider the quality of the research published and/or proposed in an application. They are asked to consider the influence of candidates in advancing knowledge in a given field (or throughout biology). While productivity may be an important factor, the assessment will be based on the content of articles and not the JIF.